Water demand of amorphous nano silica and its impact on the workability of cement paste
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Abstract

This paper addresses the characterization of six different amorphous silica samples with respect to their application in cement paste. Different mixes are compared and analyzed using the mini spread-flow test. Also the granular properties, different void fraction states of packing and distribution modulus q are analyzed and compared using a mix design tool. A deformation coefficient is derived from the spread-flow test, which correlates with the value of specific surface area computed from the particle size distribution, and intrinsic density of the samples. Finally, the thickness of a constant water layer of 25 nm around the particles is computed at the onset of flowing. The granular analysis demonstrated that it is possible to decrease the water demand of the cement paste when nanoparticles are added and the resulting grading follows the modified Andreason and Andersen curve (q = 0.5), and the concentration of nano silica is less than 5% bwoc.
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1. Introduction

The construction industry uses concrete to a large extent worldwide. About 14 billion tons were used in 2007 [9]. Concrete is composed of granular materials of different sizes and the size range of the composed solid mix covers a wide interval (Fig. 1). The smallest particle in standard concrete, usually cement, is typically in the range of 300 nm to 90 μm, whereas the largest constituent, gravel, can be up to 32 mm. These particles are composed to produce the concrete mix. The combination of all individual particle size distributions (PSDs) of the raw materials results in an overall PSD of the mix. This overall grading of the mix, containing particles from 300 nm to 32 mm, so 5 orders of magnitude, determines the mix properties of the concrete [33,45]. The properties in fresh state, flow behavior and workability, are for instance governed by the overall grading of the mix, but also the properties of the concrete in hardened state, such as strength and durability, are affected by the mix grading and resulting particle packing [52]. One way to further improve the packing is to increase the solid size range, e.g. by including particles with sizes below 300 nm. Possible materials that are currently available are limestone and silica fines, such as silica sand (SS), micro silica (mS), silica fume (SF) and nano silica (nS). Nowadays, there are different methods used to produce nS like sol–gel process, vaporization of silica, biological method and precipitation [51]. The main characteristics of silica fines, such as particle size distribution, specific density, specific surface area, and reactivity (surface silanol groups), depend on the production method used [57].

The application of micro silica (mS and SF) in concrete involves two different mechanisms. The first one is the chemical effect: the pozzolanic reaction of silica (SiO 2) with calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH) 2) forms more CSH-gel (xCaO,ySiO 2·xH 2O) at final stages. The second function is a physical one, because micro silica is about 100 times smaller than cement. Micro silica can fill the remaining voids in the young and partially hydrated cement paste, increasing its final density [60]. Some researchers [21,60] indicate that the addition of 1 kg of micro silica allows for a reduction of about 4 kg of cement (efficient factor of 3–4 in concrete with w/c less than 0.55), and this effect can be higher if nS is used. Another possibility is to maintain the cement content at a constant level, but optimizing the particle packing by using fine stone wastes in order to obtain a broader PSD [30]. Optimizing the PSD will increase the properties (strength, durability) of the hardened concrete due to a denser granular structure [17,53].

This paper presents, at first instance, a characterization of several commercial amorphous silica samples, and their particle size distribution and specific surface area determined by different techniques are compared. Then an extended analysis of the effects of amorphous silica on the water demand, water film layer derivation and workability of cement paste using the mini spread-flow test is presented. Furthermore, the granulometric properties of the paste are analyzed and relations of the resulting calculated void fraction and distribution modulus q are made using the results obtained by an optimization algorithm developed by Hüsken and Brouwers [33].
2. Application of nano silica in cement paste

Nano silica addition in cement paste and concrete can result in different effects. The accelerating effect in cement paste is well reported in the literature [8,39,50,54,55]. The main mechanism of this working principle is related to the high specific surface area of nS, because it works as nucleation site for the precipitation of CSH-gel. However, according to Bjornstrom et al. [4] it has not yet been determined whether the more rapid hydration of cement in the presence of nS is caused by its chemical reactivity upon dissolution (pozzolanic activity) or is related to the considerable surface activity. Nevertheless, Byung-Wan et al. [8] studied the accelerating effect of nS in mortars and they found that this effect is low in the first 22 min, according to their calorimetric curves presented for OPC mortar with 10% of nS. During the first stage of reaction there is an increase in the heat release, and then this heat drops during the beginning of the induction period of hydration. This phenomenon is also presented by Belkowit and Armentrout [6], who found that in the beginning of the calorimeter test a difference of only 4 °F between a reference cement paste and nS pastes with varied particle sizes (8 to 508 nm) was measured. In other words, it was observed that the accelerating effect of nS depends on the source of origin and the production method (colloidal or dry powder). Even though the beneficial effect of nS addition is reported, its concentration is limited to a maximum level of 5% to 10% based on the weight of cement (bwoc), depending on the researcher or reference [51]. At high nS concentrations the autogenous shrinkage due to self-desiccation increases and results, consequently, in a higher cracking potential.

2.1. Effect of nano silica addition on the workability

Recently, the accelerating effect of nS addition was established indirectly by rheological measurements (viscosity change) of cement pastes and mortars [54,55]. The viscosity measurements have shown that cement paste and mortar with nS addition need more water to keep the workability of the mixtures constant. Even though rheometric techniques are more accurate, they can be time-consuming and are often technically difficult to perform compared with standard workability tests, like the mini spread-flow test. Another disadvantage is that cement pastes need to be conditioned at the beginning and stirred to obtain first measurements. This procedure takes normally about 20 min after the initial mixing and is reported by several researchers for rheological studies on nS and mS [23,24,42,54,55,59]. Furthermore, it can be concluded that nS exhibits stronger tendency for adsorption of ionic species in the aqueous medium [2] and the formation of agglomerates is expected.

In contrast to the rheometric measurements, the mini spread-flow test described by Okamura and Ozawa [46], is an efficient and classical technique that is used nowadays by several researchers as a method to determine the water demand of powders and mortars [3,20,32,38,49]. It is suitable in particular for the measurement of materials that have a collapsed slump. In the paste at the onset of flowing, a layer of adsorbed water molecules surrounds the fine particles and an additional amount of water is needed to fill the remaining void fraction of the granular system. Since fine powders provide by far the largest contribution to the total specific surface area, they have also the strongest influence on the total water demand of a concrete mix. As a result, the powders should have a preferably low water demand. Therefore, an appropriate determination of the amount of water, needed to cover all particles with a water layer of a certain thickness, is an important parameter. Brouwers and Radix [5], Hunger and Brouwers [30], and later Hunger [31] found a relationship between the specific surface area and the water demand of micro powder using the mini spread-flow test. They reported a constant water layer of 25 nm for several tested powders. It is interesting to investigate if the same relationship can be found at nanoscale. Several reports can be found in the literature on the effect of nS on the workability of cement paste using rheometric measurements, but the effect on the water demand using the mini spread-flow test has not been reported so far.

2.2. Effect of nanoparticles addition on the granular mix

Hüsken and Brouwers [33] developed an optimization algorithm to design the best grading curve to obtain the maximum packing of a certain granular mix. This new mix design tool is based on the modified Andreasen and Andersen equation suggested by Funk and Dingler [27]. By means of this mix design tool a granular mix is composed based on the ideas of continuous geometric random packing of poly-disperse particles. Brouwers and Radix [5] concluded in a previous work that it could be expected that if one would optimize the PSD down in the nanometer range, the workability and stability could be maintained while further reducing the necessary superplasticizer content. In this context, a brief analysis of the developed grading algorithms is also given with the objective to test their applicability for particles at nanoscale.

3. Materials and experimental methods

3.1. Materials

The Portland cement used was CEM I 52.5 N, as classified by [12]. This cement consists to more than 95% by mass of Portland cement...
clinker; the initial setting time is 45 min, a water demand of 38.9% by weight, and compressive strength of more than 30.0 N/mm² for 2 days and more of 52.5 N/mm² at 28 days [22] is obtained. Other physical properties are shown in Table 1. Six different commercial silica (amorphous SiO₂ particles) were selected to determine the water demand and to perform the subsequent analysis. These samples are classified and named as follows: two colloidal nano silica suspensions from Akzo Nobel Germany, Cembinder® 50 and Cembinder® 8 (samples CnS-1 and CnS-2, respectively); and four micro silica samples provided by Elkem Materials Norway, one nano silica fume, Submicron silica 995 (PnS-3) in powder form, one standard micro silica fume (EMSAC® 500S) in slurry form (PnS-4); and two experimental

Table 1
Specification and general characteristics of the employed amorphous silica samples and the cement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>CnS-1</th>
<th>CnS-2</th>
<th>PnS-3</th>
<th>PnS-4</th>
<th>PnS-5</th>
<th>PnS-6</th>
<th>Cement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Colloidal</td>
<td>Colloidal</td>
<td>Powder</td>
<td>Slurry</td>
<td>Powder</td>
<td>Powder</td>
<td>CEM I 52.5 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific density (g/cm³)</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density (g/cm³)</td>
<td>1.05b</td>
<td>1.40b</td>
<td>0.09-0.11b</td>
<td>1.40b</td>
<td>0.09-0.11b</td>
<td>0.15-0.70b</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>9-11</td>
<td>9-11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid content (% w/w)</td>
<td>15b</td>
<td>50b</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>48-50b</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity (mPa.s)</td>
<td>&lt;50b</td>
<td>&lt;50b</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on ignition (L.O.I.)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.5b</td>
<td>4b</td>
<td>0.5b</td>
<td>0.5b</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BET (m²/g)</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle sizes range by SEM/STEM (nm)</td>
<td>5-50</td>
<td>79-156</td>
<td>14-291</td>
<td>48-391</td>
<td>29-1300</td>
<td>275-91000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle sizes range by LLD/DLS (nm)</td>
<td>0.9–2.3</td>
<td>73–105</td>
<td>78–1300</td>
<td>194–446</td>
<td>348–12000</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA_{phys} (cm²/cm³)</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ ^{a} \text{Determined according to DIN EN 1097-7} \[18\].
\[ ^{b} \text{Values taken from product data sheet.}
\[ ^{c} 4\% \text{w/w in water.}

Fig. 2. SEM-photographs of different nS/mS studied: a) CnS-1, b) CnS-2, c) PnS-3, d) PnS-4, e) PnS-5 and f) PnS-6.
synthetic pyrogenic silica samples with different specific BET surface area (Pms-5 and Pms-6). Their general characteristics taken from the product data sheet are shown in Table 1.

3.2. Experimental methods

3.2.1. Particle morphological characteristics

The size and morphology of the silica fines were analyzed with a scanning electron microscope FEI XL-30 SFEG and a scanning transmission electron microscope Tecnai model 20FEG using a Schottky field emitter gun with a voltage between 55 and 175 keV. Furthermore, a general chemical analysis was performed using an EDAX energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) device. The results are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 2.

3.2.2. Particle size distribution (PSD) and specific surface area (SSA) of silica

3.2.2.1. Particle size distribution. The measurement of particle size distributions (PSDs) is a major aspect of powder technology. There are numerous methods suitable for determining PSDs, even though, the most used for fine powders are laser light diffraction (LLD) and dynamic light scattering (DLS). LLD was used to determine the PSD of the commercial nS and mS samples. This technique has become the most prevalent method for the characterization of powders in research and industry [13]. With special analysis software, conclusions can be drawn even in regard to the particle shape. All PSDs of the collected silica have been measured in liquid dispersion (water) with a can be drawn even in regard to the particle shape. All PSDs of the collected silica have been measured in liquid dispersion (water) with a

3.2.2.2. Computed specific surface area (SSA_{sp}). The specific surface area is the quotient of the absolute available surface, inclusive all open internal surfaces (pore walls), divided by the mass and is expressed in area per mass (m²/g). In this research, only the outer surface being in contact with water is of interest. Considering the specific density (ρ), Table 1, the computed spherical specific surface area (SSA_{sp}) can also be expressed as area per volume (cm²/cm³). Besides mass and solid volume, the (SSA_{sp}) can also be related to the bulk volume (taking account for the void fraction). The (SSA_{sp}) was derived indirectly from the particle size distribution using the procedure described by McCabe and Smith [43] and later by Hunger [31].

This procedure takes into account the specific density and the measured PSDs to compute the (SSA_{sp}) of all materials using the following equation:

\[
SSA_{sp} = 6 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{w_i}{D_{i, \text{mid}} \times \rho}
\]

where (w_i) is the corresponding mass fraction (i), (D_{i, \text{mid}}) is the arithmetic mean between two consecutive sieve sizes, being the mass percentage of the fraction between (D_i) and (D_{i+1}). The results are shown in Table 1.

3.2.2.3. BET specific surface area (SSA_{BET,mon} and SSA_{BET, ext}). Gas sorption (both adsorption and desorption) at the clean surface of solid is the most commonly used method for determining the surface area of powders as well as the pore size distribution of porous materials. The specific surface areas of silica samples were analyzed using the Brunauer–Emmet–Teller (BET method) developed in 1938 [7]. The BET analysis is based on the amount of N₂ gas adsorbed at various partial pressures (eight points in the range 0.05 < P/P₀ < 0.3). A nitrogen molecular cross sectional area of 0.162 nm² was used to determine the surface area. Using the BET theory and the standard procedure described by DIN ISO 9277 [19], the specific surface area of the silica samples was determined using a Micromeritics Tristar 3000 adsorption device and calculated from the adsorption/desorption isotherms of N₂.

The nitrogen sorption data were used to compute the micropore volume following the t-plot method described by De Boer and Lippens [14]. The statistical thickness of the adsorbed gas layer was also calculated at a given value of (P/P₀) for a nonporous standard adsorbent (standard silica from Micromeritics), using the model proposed by Harkins and Jura [29]. Finally, the external specific surface (SSA_{BET, ext}) area was determined from the slope of the straight line of the statistical thickness of standard silica sample and the adsorption volume at the pressure range 0.10 < P/P₀ < 0.35.

3.2.3. Water demand, void fraction and workability of cement/nano silica pastes

Cement pastes with different silica addition were prepared at variable water/powder ratio between 0.5 and 0.7. In total, 97 cement pastes were produced with 0%, 0.5%, 1.5%, 3.0% and 4.5% silica addition based on the weight of cement (bwoc), maintaining a constant weight of 1 kg of cement for each mix (see Table 2). The same procedure used by Ref. [46], and later by Ref. [20] was followed (no use of superplasticizer). A superplasticizer was not used in the present study. The cement pastes were mixed according to EN 196-1 [11] using a 5 l Hobart mixer. The pastes were tested after mixing using the mini spread-flow test applying the Hägermann cone described...
where the intersection of the linear function \((\beta_p)\) value gives the minimum water amount to assure a consistent deformation. The deformation coefficient \((\beta_p)\) was derived from the optimization algorithm developed by Hunger [31]. This algorithm is based on the modified Andreasen and Andersen equation proposed by Funk and Dinger [27], which reads as follows:

\[
P'(D) = \frac{D^2 - D^3}{D^2_{\text{max}} - D^3_{\text{min}}}.
\]

The previous equation describes the grading of continuously graded formulation of particles considering a minimum \(D_{\text{min}}\) and maximum \(D_{\text{max}}\) size of the particles that are present in the mix. Hence, an aimed composition of the granular mix considering the grading line given by Eq. (7) can result in a paste that meets the minimum void content and, in consequence, minimum water demand (Fig. 4). The algorithm considers \((m)\) ingredients \((k = 1, 2, ..., m)\), including the non-solid ingredients air and water. For the mix analysis using the water demand \((\beta_{p,\text{mix}})\) according to the equation given by Brouwers and Radix [5]:

\[
\Psi(\Gamma = 0) = \frac{V_w}{V_{\text{total}}} = \frac{V_w}{V_w + V_s} = \frac{\beta_p}{\beta_p + 1}
\]

In which \((V_w)\), \((V_s)\) and \((V_{\text{total}})\) are the volume of water, solids as well as the total volume of the mix, respectively, and \((\beta_p)\) is the interception of the spread-flow line with the abscissa when the relative slump equals to zero.

### 3.2.4. Derivation of water layer thickness

A thin layer of adsorbed water molecules around the particles is necessary to assure the fluidity of the hydrating system. Brouwers and Radix [5] proposed that the thickness \((\delta)\) of this layer is related to the deformation coefficient and the surface area of the material used, which was later confirmed by Hunger [31]. This relation reads:

\[
\psi = \xi \cdot \delta \cdot \text{SSA}_{\text{ph}}
\]

where \((\xi)\) is the shape factor and \((\text{SSA}_{\text{ph}})\) is the specific surface area computed from the PSD. Using the different computed \((\text{SSA}_{\text{ph}})\), \((\text{SSA}_{\text{ET, total}})\), \((\text{SSA}_{\text{ET, mix}})\) and the calculated deformation coefficient, a water film thickness of the nano silica was derived from the slope of a linear regression using Eq. (6) and assuming a shape factor \((\xi)\) of 1. Finally, the different water film layers were compared in the present study.

### 3.2.5. Particles grading and determination of distribution modulus for cement/silica pastes

The particle grading and the distribution modulus \((q)\) of the granular mix consisting of amorphous silica (nS or mS) and cement particles were calculated based on the optimization algorithm developed by Hüsken and Brouwers [33]. This algorithm is based on the modified Andreasen and Andersen equation proposed by Funk and Dinger [27], which reads as follows:

\[
P'(D) = \frac{D^2 - D^3}{D^2_{\text{max}} - D^3_{\text{min}}}.
\]

The previous equation describes the grading of continuously graded formulation of particles considering a minimum \(D_{\text{min}}\) and maximum \(D_{\text{max}}\) size of the particles that are present in the mix. Hence, an aimed composition of the granular mix considering the grading line given by Eq. (7) can result in a paste that meets the minimum void content and, in consequence, minimum water demand (Fig. 4). The algorithm considers \((m)\) ingredients \((k = 1, 2, ..., m)\), including the non-solid ingredients air and water. For the mix analysis using the water demand \((\beta_{p,\text{mix}})\) according to the equation given by Brouwers and Radix [5]:

\[
\Psi(\Gamma = 0) = \frac{V_w}{V_{\text{total}}} = \frac{V_w}{V_w + V_s} = \frac{\beta_p}{\beta_p + 1}
\]

In which \((V_w)\), \((V_s)\) and \((V_{\text{total}})\) are the volume of water, solids as well as the total volume of the mix, respectively, and \((\beta_p)\) is the interception of the spread-flow line with the abscissa when the relative slump equals to zero.

### Table 2

Analyzed paste formulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(wt.%)</th>
<th>w/p</th>
<th>Water (ml)</th>
<th>Cement (g)</th>
<th>Silica fine (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>500 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>550 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>600 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>650 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>700 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>498 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>548 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>598 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>666 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>701 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>504 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>554 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>604 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>655 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>721 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>510 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>562 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>613 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>665 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>716 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>518 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>570 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>622 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>675 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>727 ± 1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Eq. (7), the geometric mean \( D_{\text{geom}}^{i+1} \) of the upper and lower sieve size of the respective fraction obtained by laser diffraction analysis was taken as particle size \( D \) and follows from:

\[
D_{\text{geom}}^{i+1} = \sqrt{D_i \cdot D_{i+1}} \quad \text{for} \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.
\]

The sizes of the fractions vary in steps of \( \sqrt{2} \) starting from 0.01 µm up to 125 mm. Consequently, 44 sizes \( (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1) \) were identified, and 43 fractions \( (n) \) are available for the classification of the solid ingredients. Taking this wide range of the PSD of the granular ingredients into account, the entire grading of both materials was considered to obtain a theoretically optimized mix for maximum packing. Using the physical properties of the solids, such as specific density \( \rho_s \), surface area \( \text{SSA}_{\text{sph}} \), and their PSDs, a grading line (cumulative finer fraction) of the target function was generated on volume base, given by:

\[
P_{\text{mix}} \left( D_{\text{geom}}^{i+1} \right) = P_{\text{mix}} \left( D_{\text{geom}}^{i+1} \right) - Q_{\text{mix}} \left( D_i \right), \quad \text{for} \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1 \text{or} \ (9)
\]

\[
P_{\text{mix}} \left( D_{\text{geom}}^{n+1} \right) = 1, \quad \text{for} \ i = n \quad (10)
\]

where \( Q_{\text{mix}} \) is equal to:

\[
Q_{\text{mix}} \left( D_i \right) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n-i} \frac{\text{vol}_{k}}{\text{vol}_{i}} Q_{\text{sol},k} \left( D_i \right)}{\sum_{k=1}^{n-i} \frac{\text{vol}_{k}}{\text{vol}_{i}} Q_{\text{sol},k} \left( D_i \right)}. \quad (11)
\]

Using the resulting PSD of the tested mixes, the distribution modulus \( (q) \) was assessed using the least squares technique.
distribution modulus of Eq. (7) was related to the workability properties of the tested pastes. For the analysis, the optimum content of amorphous silica and cement was also calculated using the optimization algorithm. In this case, the distribution modulus \( (q) \) varies between 0.01 and 0.50, considering a \((w/c)\) ratio of 0.5 as constraint.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Morphology, particle size distribution (PSD) and specific surface area (SSA)

The results presented in Table 1 illustrate that the selected silica samples are characterized by diverse PSDs that vary between 2 nm and 12 μm. In general, the particle size distribution determined by STEM measurements is similar compared to DLS and LLD results. In the same way, PSDs are in line with the specific surface area calculated using the BET method, and computed PSDs assuming a spherical shape. However, there is still a need to improve the dispensability of nano silica in water suspensions to obtain the best approximation of the particle size measured by SEM/STEM photomicrographs. It is noteworthy to mention that for the sample CnS-1, only particles of around 20 to 40 nm were identified by electron microscopy. Even though these particles are present in the colloidal suspension, their concentration is less than 2%. For this reason, the DLS results show only particles between 0.09 and 3 nm. These small particles were difficult to identify by the electron microscope used.

The characteristic morphology and shape of all silica samples are presented in Fig. 2. For all nano and micro silica studied, a spherical shape is the predominant morphology that was observed. This morphology changes to more angular particles when their particle size becomes smaller than 20 nm. Other observations of the STEM analysis are the evidence of sintered particles (irreversibly agglomerated). The agglomeration of these particles is the result of the high temperature during the collection of silica fume in dust cyclones. In addition, coalescence of particles was also evident in the CnS-2 sample.

Similarly, SEM/STEM analysis revealed that all particles are amorphous with one exception. The sample PmS-4, which is standard micro silica in slurry form, featured small quantities of remnant crystalline faces (detected by electron diffraction spectrum). Probably, these remnants are mainly composed of crystalline SiO\(_2\) and other minor contaminants (Fe, Ca and P), normally found in standard silica fume, and reported by others [25,49].

EDS analysis was performed to identify the main elements that are present in the commercial silica samples, which are in most of the cases silicon and oxygen. In the standard micro silica (PmS-4), iron, calcium, and phosphor were identified as impurities. In this case, these elements are possibly resulting from the stabilization additive used for silica slurries that are mainly composed of substances containing phosphates.

4.2. Mini spread-flow test

4.2.1. Water demand analysis

The relative slump flow value of cement–silica mixes versus the volumetric water powder ratio \( (Y_\text{w}/V_p) \) is depicted in Fig. 5a to f for different types and contents of silica. The data illustrate the direct relation between the water demand and the workability of the hydrating system containing amorphous silica and cement. A list of different \( (E_{p,\text{mix}}) \) and \( (\beta_{p,\text{mix}}) \) coefficient found for cement/silica pastes is presented in Table 3. It is important to notice that additions of sample CnS-1 greater than 1.5% bwoc resulted in a too stiff paste. This as a consequence of the increase in the internal surface area that produced higher cohesive forces between the particles. Consequently, it was not possible to measure the spread-flow for the sample CnS-1. In general, the results shown in Table 3 are in line with the theories presented by Brouwers and Radix [5] where \( (E_{p,\text{mix}}) \) depends on the total specific surface area of the solids of the composed mix, which are in the considered case, cement and amorphous silica (nS and mS). It is evident that decreasing particle size of the amorphous silica and, consequently, increasing (SSA), increases the values of \( (E_{p,\text{mix}}) \) for all tested samples. Furthermore, it is clear that the values of the deformation coefficient \( (E_{p,\text{mix}}) \) also increase when the relative concentration of the amorphous silica is higher. However, the water demand also depends on the packing and void fraction of the paste, which explains the minima observed in Fig. 6b to e. In case of improved packing, more water is available to lubricate the particles [5,31], which results in a larger slump flow diameter. Consequently, some values of the water retention ratio \( (\beta_{p,\text{mix}}) \) obtained for mixes with silica addition are lower than the pure cement paste \( (\beta_{p,\text{Cем}} = 1.383) \).

4.2.2. Void fraction and workability

In order to analyze the results and to unify the effect of the parameters of the spread-flow tests \( (E_{p,\text{mix}}) \) and \( (\beta_{p,\text{mix}}) \), the void fraction \( (\psi) \) of each mix was calculated from its \( (\beta_{p,\text{mix}}) \) value using Eq. (5). The calculated void fractions were compared with the theoretical water demand \( (V_\text{w}/V_p) \) necessary for each paste formulation to obtain a relative slump \( (f_p) \) of 5.3, which is equivalent to a flow diameter of 250 mm. This value is considered as optimum for obtaining good workability in pure cement paste and was indicated by Hunger [31] for cement type I (CEMI 52.5 N). The results obtained for the six selected silica samples and the reference cement are illustrated in Fig. 6a to f. Analyzing these figures it can be concluded that it is possible to reduce the void fraction of the cement paste with 0.5% bwoc of CnS-1. Nevertheless, due to increasing values of the deformation coefficient \( (E_p) \), 15% more water is required to obtain a 250 mm slump diameter. Hence, a superplasticizer is needed to reduce the water demand. The higher water demand is caused by the large difference between the specific surface area of CnS-1 (364 × 10\(^4\) cm\(^2\)/cm\(^3\)), compared with CEMI 52.5 N (2 × 10\(^4\) cm\(^2\)/cm\(^3\)).

For the other colloidal nano silica studied (CnS-2), the reduced void fraction comes along with a reduction in the water demand. This finding is in line with the theories of improved particle packing of continuously graded mixes [1,5,26,28,30,31,33,37,48]. Nevertheless, the minimum void fraction derived from the theoretical analysis is not in line with the point of minimum water demand (Fig. 6b). It means that another mechanism probably exists that is acting in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Concentration (% bwoc)</th>
<th>Deformation coefficient ( (E_{p,\text{mix}}) )</th>
<th>Water demand ( (\beta_{p,\text{mix}}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEMI 52.5 N</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0562</td>
<td>1.383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CnS-1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1110</td>
<td>1.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2084</td>
<td>1.501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CnS-2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0591</td>
<td>1.320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0677</td>
<td>1.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0867</td>
<td>1.288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.1024</td>
<td>1.318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PmS-3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0651</td>
<td>1.312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0733</td>
<td>1.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0821</td>
<td>1.407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.1046</td>
<td>1.481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PmS-4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0568</td>
<td>1.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0789</td>
<td>1.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0837</td>
<td>1.223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.0925</td>
<td>1.267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PmS-5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0840</td>
<td>1.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0787</td>
<td>1.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PmS-6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0658</td>
<td>1.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0885</td>
<td>1.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0817</td>
<td>1.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.0834</td>
<td>1.184</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mix, and which modifies the particle packing. Similar phenomena have already been reported by Palm and Wolter [47] as main problem of the application of particles with high specific surface area, i.e. particles in the nanometric range. At nanoscale level, other interparticle forces such as Van der Waals forces, electrostatic repulsion and attraction influence the particle packing. Several researchers [47, 62], reported that nanoparticles exhibit lower packing fractions than similar particles with the same composition but with a larger particle size. In contrast to this, it can be noticed from Fig. 6b that it is possible to reduce the water demand of the cement paste by 3%, when CnS-2 is added with a concentration below 2% bwoc. For both mentioned colloidal nano silica samples competing effects between packing behavior and interparticle forces show an important influence on the void fraction and the water demand computed using the spread-flow test. Similar trends in computed \( \psi \) and \( \beta_p \) values were also found for the samples PnS-3 and PmS-5, which reduce the water demand in small additions, maximum 0.9% for PnS-3 and 1.5% bwoc for PmS-5, respectively. It is important to remark that PmS-5 at low concentrations (less than 1.5%) reduces the water demand significantly (5% less water by volume is needed to obtain a flow value of 250 mm).

On the contrary, PmS-4 shows a minimum water demand when a minimum void fraction is obtained (see Fig. 6d). The reduction in the water demand is around 4.5% in volume for an addition of 1.5% bwoc. Moreover, it is possible to obtain a cement paste with the same water demand with an addition of about 3.4% bwoc. This is in line with other work found in the literature, where a reduction of the water demand is reported for a concentration of less than 5% bwoc [54]. However, it is still remarkable that the effect on the reduced water demand due to the incorporation of particles, which result in an

---

**Fig. 6.** Theoretical water/powder proportion for a slump flow of 250 mm (■) and calculated void fraction (○) for cement pastes with different addition of nS/mS.
increase in the specific surface area, is extensive. This effect increases the water demand of cement paste with additions larger than 3% of sample PmS-4.

Opposite to other samples, PmS-6 (Fig. 6f) shows a water demand reduction and a minimum void fraction in the entire range of concentrations studied (0.5 to 4.5% bwoc addition). This synthetic pyrogenic silica sample shows a wide PSD that apparently improves the packing of the paste when it is combined with CEM I 52.5 N, as this sample has only four times more SSA than the applied cement, its influence on the packing fraction and the water demand is less. In this case, the spherical shape of the particles results in a rolling effect that reduces the viscosity of the paste. PmS-6 consists of coarse spherical silica that is less reactive than the smaller sized silica and that has a high concentration of nanoparticles. The before mentioned rolling effect is similar to the effect of fly ash [44]. It improves or facilitates the flowing of the cement paste, resulting in a lower water demand to obtain the same slump diameter. As a result, the average water demand was reduced by 5% for all the mixes studied.

In general, the water demand to obtain a slump diameter of 250 mm increases with decreasing particle sizes. Furthermore, the water demand increases when higher silica concentrations are used as illustrated in Fig. 7. One exception of this observation is the sample CnS-1 which has a higher specific surface area than the other samples.

It is also important to notice that the application technique of the silica (suspension, powder, or slurry) can have an effect on the test results. The dispersion of the particles can affect the workability of the mix and, consequently, the packing and the void fraction. To minimize this effect, all samples were first mixed with water and then added to the mixing water before the cement was added. This procedure was suggested by Marchuk [41] as appropriate method for the dispersion of micro silica when a conventional orbital type mixer is used. Even thought this method could not be sufficient to totally disperse the dry amorphous silica powders (PnS-3 and PmS-5), and could be the explanation why, in some cases, the minimum void fraction did not follow the minimum water content. Also, the initial pH value of the pore solution at early ages can be different from amorphous silica introduced in colloidal (CnS-1 and CnS-2) or in slurry form (PmS-4), when both samples are compared with silica in powder form. This fact might explain why the water demand of PnS-3 is higher than that of sample CnS-2 although both samples have similar specific surface area and average particles sizes. In spite of this difference in the water demand, the use of a relative high $(V_w/V_p)$ in the range of 0.5 to 0.7, was chosen to minimize this effect. A further solution can be found by the use of a superplasticizer, which will be considered in the further research.

5. Water layer thickness analysis

In the following, the derivation of the water film thickness from the spread-flow tests is described and analyzed. It is important to understand how the different $(E_p)$ values were obtained and their relation to the physical characteristics of the pure nS/mS. The deformation coefficients $(E_p)$ were derived from Eq. (4) and taking into account a linear relation as described by Domone and Hsi-Wen [20]. Considering the respective fraction or concentration of cement and silica in the paste, each $(E_p)$ was calculated indirectly and its derivation is illustrated in Table 4.

Higher deformation coefficients $(E_p)$ were found for mixes with a high content of nano silica (0.935 to 9.040), which is bigger than that of cement (0.0562). This indicates that water has a large influence on the workability of the hydrating system containing nano silica. The results are directly related to the high specific surface area as previously reported by Brouwers and Radix [5] and later by Hunger [31]. These researchers concluded that the larger the external surface, the larger the deformation coefficient (more water is required to attain a certain relative slump). As it is shown in Fig. 8, the present study confirms the relation between the deformation coefficient $(E_p)$ and the specific surface area $(SSA_{sph})$ calculated by LLD and DLS.

On the other hand, using the computed $(SSA_{sph})$ and the calculated deformation coefficient, a water film having a thickness of 24.8 nm was derived for the silica samples using Eq. (6), (slope value of the linear fit presented in Fig. 8). This value is in the same order of magnitude as the results reported by Brouwers and Radix [5] of 41.3 nm $(\delta_{Blaine})$. Furthermore, this value is also confirmed by Hunger [31], who reported a 24.9 nm based on $SSA_{sph}$ and 41.6 nm $SSA_{Blaine}$ respectively, for different powders and binders. Moreover, similar values were reported by Marquardt [40], who found a layer thickness of

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Deformation coefficient $(E_p)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CnS-1</td>
<td>9.0398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CnS-2</td>
<td>0.8947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PnS-3</td>
<td>0.9346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PmS-4</td>
<td>0.7150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PmS-5</td>
<td>1.5562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PmS-6</td>
<td>0.5058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. Theoretical water/powder ratio for a slump flow of 250 mm for cement pastes with different addition and sizes of nano and micro silica (● CnS-1, ▲ PnS-3, ▲ CnS-2, ○ PmS-4 and ■ PmS-6). $D_{(a,b)}$ is the characteristic diameter, where 50% of the particle, by volume, are below in the cumulative finer curves presented in Fig. 3.

Fig. 8. Computed $(SSA_{sph})$ and BET volume based $(SSA_{BET})$ specific surface area against respective deformation coefficient $(E_p)$ for different silica derived from spread-flow tests.
about 150 water molecules for particles by means of sorption experiments. This layer thickness corresponds to approximately 45 nm as the size of one water molecule is about 3 Å. As the computed specific surface area depends on the efficient dispersion and the primary agglomerated nanoparticles, a similar approach as performed before was carried out using the BET specific surface area (SSA_BET). In this case, all \( (\delta_p) \) were plotted against the total and external BET specific surface area (calculated by t-plot), and multiplied by the corresponding specific density. In this case, a shape factor is not necessary for correction, because the total BET surface area considers the entire accessible particle surface, including pores. As the surface of the pores is included in the calculation of the water film thickness, the BET external surface area becomes more important. The result is shown in Fig. 8, where the computed water film thickness decreases from 24.8 nm to 19.0 nm, considering only the external surface area \( (\delta_{BET,ext}) \); and from 24.8 nm to 17.4 nm, taking into account the surface area of the pores \( (\delta_{BET,total}) \), respectively. Next, the computed specific surface area (SSA) calculated by LLD or DLS was plotted against \( (SSA_{BET,total}) \) and \( (SSA_{BET,ext}) \), see Fig. 9. It is shown that the empirical relation between \( (SSA_{BET}) \) and \( (SSA_{BET}) \) is linear with a conversion factor in the range of 0.70 to 0.77 for BET total and BET external surface area.

As previously discussed, the application technique of the silica can affect the packing and the workability. Also, the reactivity of the particles has a great influence on the hydration. Nevertheless, the values obtained for the water film layer of all types of amorphous silica with spherical form can partially validate the use of the spread-flow test to calculate the water demand of amorphous nano silica.

The reactivity of the small particles, and a possible effect of the method of incorporation, are minimized by the use of a high water content, the mixing procedure described by Marchuck (1994), and the short time to obtain the spread-flow. At an early stage, the increasing number of contact points and the interlocking of the granular system determine the main mechanisms. The water retention ratio of the nanoparticles influences also the flow properties (nanoparticles apparently need the same water layer thickness as micro particles to obtain the same flow diameter). This phenomenon explains why nano silica is used as stabilization agent in SCC and as anti free water additive in well cementing slurries. Again, a 24.8 nm thick water layer was found in the present research. A similar value was reported by Hunger [31] for micro powders. Both values confirm the applied method and experimental conditions.
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### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Concentration (% bwoc)</th>
<th>Distribution modulus ((q))</th>
<th>Regression coefficient ((R^2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CnS-2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.9914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.9928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.9945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.9957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PnS-3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.9916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.9929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.9945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.9957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PnS-4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.9914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.9925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.9939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.9950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PnS-5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.9918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.9928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PnS-6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.9927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.9264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.9288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.9310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### G. Particle grading and determination of distribution modulus of cement/silica pastes

The distribution moduli \((q)\) found for mixes of nS/mS and cement particles are listed in Table 5 and vary between 0.56 and 0.20. This variation depends on the overall PSD of each mix. For CnS-2, the minimum void fraction and water demand corresponds to a distribution modulus \((q)\) of 0.5, which is in line with the fixed value postulated by Fuller and Thompson [26], see Fig. 10a. To understand this finding, it is important to analyze the cement grading in detail. The minimum and maximum particle sizes of the cement were used to construct an ideal Fuller type curve. It is evident from Fig. 10 that the cement does not follow the original Fuller curve with \((q)\) of 0.5, because it is deficient of fine particles less than 10 μm. When CnS-2 is added to cement at a maximum ratio of about 3% bwoc, the grading of the composed granular mix is close to the theoretical Fuller curve using Eq. (7), resulting in the maximum packing fraction (lowest void fraction). In the same way, comparing a target curve with \((q)\) of 0.55, a composed mix with 3% bwoc of CnS-2 follows the true Fuller curve for cement. This fact illustrates that the addition of CnS-2 produces a wider particle size distribution of the composed mix, which several researchers reported as a requirement to obtain minimum void fraction (maximum packing), and less water demand [1,26,28,48].

In the same way, all silica samples were analyzed as shown in Fig. 10b to e. For PnS-3, a minimum void fraction and water demand was determined for additions between 0.5 and 1.5% bwoc, which corresponds to \((q)\) values between 0.55 and 0.54. Considering the best fit value (taken from Table 5), which corresponds to a distribution modulus \((q)\) of 0.54, an optimum addition of 3.15% bwoc of PnS-3 was computed from the optimum theoretical grading line. The previous value is close to a distribution modulus of 0.5, which again is the referred value for maximum packing [26]. Even though a difference between the theoretical and calculated distribution modulus exits, the relative concentration of the nS changes, which is caused by introduced errors in the computation of the real PSD as well as the presence of high interparticle forces. These prevent the particles to be arranged in the maximum possible packing. Consequently, the same observation can be made for sample PnS-4, where the minimum water demand was derived for an addition of 1.5% bwoc \((q = 0.54)\). This value of \((q)\) corresponds to 4.15% bwoc of PnS-4, which is in line with the calculated values (0.52 for 3% bwoc and 0.49 for 4.5% bwoc) that are, again, close to \((q = 0.5)\).

In the case of PnS-5, it was not possible to complete the analysis, as the amount of provided material was not sufficient. Therefore, only two concentrations (0.5 and 1.5% bwoc) were investigated using the mini spread-flow test. However, the difference between the fitted distribution modulus and the computed optimum concentration follows the same trend as explained before. A distribution modulus \((q)\) of
0.54 corresponds to 3.88% bwoc of PmS-5 addition. In practice this 3.88% can be related to a fitted \(q\) value of about 0.5.

In the case of the PmS-6, the results were completely different to the previously tested samples. In the entire interval of investigated concentrations, the void fraction decreased and the water demand showed a constant value (Fig. 6f). Also, the concentrations that were studied in this research (0.5 to 4.5% bwoc).
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In addition to the grading analysis presented before, a sensitivity study using the optimization algorithm developed by Hüsken and Brouwers [33] was performed to validate the suitability of the algorithm when nano-sized particles are added to the cement paste and mortar. For this analysis, the PSDs of six selected amorphous silica were used to calculate the optimum concentration varying the distribution modulus (q) between 0.05 and 0.50. The results are shown in Fig. 11, where, in general, the concentration of fine material increases with decreasing distribution modulus (q). This fact was expected and was previously confirmed by Hüsken [34]. Here, the important observation for almost all amorphous nano silica samples that were analyzed is that the concentration needs to be less than 7% in order to obtain a mix that follows, as close as possible, a grading curve with q = 0.5 (Fuller curve). By doing so, an expected minimum water demand is achieved. On the contrary, the PmS-6 presented a minimum distribution modulus of 0.30 to obtain reasonable results. In general, the smaller the particle size of the silica, less material is needed to find an optimum value for a fixed (q). It is important to notice that the optimization algorithm only takes into account the PSD of the non-reacted particles and does not consider effects caused by cement hydration or formation of water layers around the particles. This fact can explain why in almost all cases, the workability tests were not in concordance with the minimum calculated void fraction.

A graphical analysis was made taking into account all fit coefficients (R²). The R² values are plotted versus the respective distribution moduli (Fig. 12). Here, the maximum values of R² appeared for distribution moduli (q) in the range of 0.35 to 0.45. Confirming that, the best approximation to the target function of the composed granular mix, can be computed with a distribution modulus in the aforementioned range. This observation is in line with a (q) of 0.37 reported by Andreasen and Andersen [1], and 0.30 to 0.40 that were reported later by Hüsken [34]. One exception is the sample PmS-6, where the best approximation to the target function was computed when (q) had a minimum possible value.

7. Conclusions

In the present work, the mini spread-flow test for the determination of water demand, water film thickness and the impact on the workability of cement paste with amorphous nano silica are addressed. Different correlations have been derived which express these values in terms of granulometric properties and silica concentrations. Some of them are confirmed by literature, others are new. Several conclusions can be drawn and expressed as follows:

- Higher deformation coefficients (Ep) for amorphous silica with high content of nanoparticles were found which are bigger than that of cement. This indicates that water has a bigger influence on the workability of the hydrating system containing nano silica with high surface area.
- A linear relationship between the deformation coefficient and the specific surface area of nS/mS particles was confirmed. A similar thickness of the water layer of nS particles (24.8 nm), as reported by Hunger [31] for micron-sized powders, was confirmed and determined assuming a spherical particle shape. Taking into account the BET total and external specific surface area, a smaller water film was established. These values are helpful to calculate the water demand of a given silica sample with known specific surface area.
- In the experimental condition of the presented research, the addition of 0.5 to 4.0% (bwoc) nano silica to the cement paste can reduce the water demand without the use of superplasticizers. The concentration range found is related to distribution moduli (q) that are close to the Fuller curve to obtain a minimum water demand and void fraction in these types of granular mixes. Further research is required to modify the agglomerated state of the commercial nS in order to calculate a more accurate value of their computed specific surface area using LLD or DLS techniques. Even though the particle size ranges are comparable with the values obtained by SEM/STEM, a spherical shape and an amorphous structure are predominant in all samples.
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Sf: Silica fume
SS: Silica sand
SSA: Specific surface area [m²/g] or [cm²/cm³]
STEM: Scanning transmission electron microscopy
t-plot: Statistical thickness method
CSH-gel: xCaO. ySiO₂. zH₂O gel

Roman

bw/o: Based on the weight of cement [% m/m]
D: Particle or sphere diameter [nm], [μm] or [m]
Dₜ: Hägermann cone base diameter [cm]
Dₜe: Slump flow diameter [cm]
̄Dₜe,i: Arithmetic mean of the particle size [cm]
Eᵢ: Deformation coefficient [—]
fᵢ: Volume fraction i [—]
M: Mass of particle or sphere [kg]
n: Total number of particle [—]
P: Adsorption pressure [mm Hg]
P(D): Particle grading function [% v/v]
Pₛ: Saturation vapor pressure [mm Hg]
q: Distribution modulus [—]
Qձ(J): Composed mix function [% v/v]
Qₛ(k): Sieve residue solid k [% v/v]
SSABET,ext: External specific surface area (BET method) [m²/g]
SSASph: Sphere based computed specific surface area [m²/g] [cm²/cm³]
SSABET,total: Total specific surface (BET method) [m²/g]
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Vₛ(k): Volume of solid k [cm³]
V眚: Total mix volume [cm³]
Vw: Water volume [cm³]

Greek

δ: Water film thickness [nm]
γₑ: Water retention ratio or water demand [—]
Γₚ: Relative slump [—]
ξ: Particle shape factor [—]
ρₛ: Specific density [g/cm³]
σ: Area covered by one molecule of adsorbate (N₂) [nm²]
Ψ: Void fraction [—]

Subscripts

arith: Arithmetic
BET, ext: Brunauer–Emmett–Teller method external surface area
BET, total: Brunauer–Emmett–Teller method total surface area
i: Fraction index of the lower sieve, general counter
k: Counter variable for materials
max: Maximum
micro, max: Micropore maximum adsorption
min: Minimum
n: End value of the counter
p: Powder
S: Silica
s: solid
Sph: Sphere base
w: Water
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![Fig. 3. PSD of the collected nano silica samples and the employed cement (CEM I 52.5 N).](image1)

![Fig. 4. Example of target line and composed mix derived from the optimization algorithm for cement paste.](image2)
The typological errors have no further impact on the content of the paper.
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